

NT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/704,212	10/31/2000	Wouter E. Roorda	M-9457 US	4669	
75	90 05/28/2002				
Cameron Kerrigan Squire Sanders & Dempsey LLP One Maritime Plaza Suite 300			EXAMINER		
			EGAN, BRIAN P		
San Francisco, CA 94111-3492			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1772	<u>i_1</u>	
		DATE MAILED: 05/28/2002			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	1 17 = -
. Office Action Summary		09/704,212	ROORDA ET AL.	
		Examiner	Art Unit	
		Brian P. Egan	1772	
	s communication a	opears on the cover shee	with the correspondence addre)ss
Period for Reply		LV IO CET TO EVOIDE	MONTH(C) FROM	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY F THE MAILING DATE OF THIS C - Extensions of time may be available under after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing dat - If the period for reply specified above is less - If NO period for reply is specified above, the - Failure to reply within the set or extended p - Any reply received by the Office later than t earned patent term adjustment See 37 CF Status	the provisions of 37 CFR 1 e of this communication is than thirty (30) days, a re e maximum statutory perioderiod for reply will, by statuhree months after the mailing.	136(a) In no event, however, ma ply within the statutory minimum of d will apply and will expire SIX (6) Note, cause the application to become	y a reply be timely filed thirty (30) days will be considered timely. MONTHS from the mailing date of this comme ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133)	nunication.
1) Responsive to communic	ation(s) filed on			
2a) This action is FINAL .		his action is non-final.		
, 🗕	<i>,</i> —		matters, prosecution as to the n	nerits is
closed in accordance with				
Disposition of Claims	·			
4) Claim(s) 1-28 is/are pend	_			
4a) Of the above claim(s) _ 5) Claim(s) is/are allow		awn from consideration.		
6) Claim(s) is/are rejection				
7) Claim(s) is/are objection				
8) Claim(s) <u>1-28</u> are subject		r election requirement		
Application Papers	to restriction and	r clocker requirement.		
9)☐ The specification is objecte	d to by the Examir	ner.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on	is/are: a)∏ acc	epted or b) objected to b	y the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request t	hat any objection to t	the drawing(s) be held in ab	eyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
11) The proposed drawing corr	ection filed on	is: a)	disapproved by the Examiner.	
If approved, corrected draw	ings are required in r	reply to this Office action.		
12)☐ The oath or declaration is o	bjected to by the E	xaminer.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 an	d 120			
13) Acknowledgment is made	of a claim for forei	gn priority under 35 U.S.	C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a) All b) Some * c)	None of:			
1. Certified copies of the	ne priority docume	nts have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the	ne priority docume	nts have been received in	n Application No	
	the International E	Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a		age
14) Acknowledgment is made o	f a claim for domes	stic priority under 35 U.S.	C. § 119(e) (to a provisional ap	oplication).
a) ☐ The translation of the 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made c				
Attachment(s)				
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawin Information Disclosure Statement(s) (F		5) Notice	ew Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). of Informal Patent Application (PTO-1	

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 09/704,212

Art Unit: 1772

ELECTION RESTRICTION

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 1-22, drawn to a method of coating a porous hydrophobic polymer with a hemocompatible coating, classified in class 427, subclass 2.1+.
 - II. Claims 23-25, drawn to a hemocompatible polymer, classified in class 424, subclass 400+.
 - III. Claims 26-28, drawn to a medical device (specifically a stent / stent cover), classified in class 623, subclass 1.39.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 2. Inventions I, II, and III are related as process of making, product by process, and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process. The porous hydrophobic polymer need not be coated by dip coating. A molding process, spot coating, or spray coating are all equivalent methods that can be used to make the desired product.
- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 4. A telephone call was made to Mr. Cameron Kerrigan on May 20, 2002 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Application/Control Number: 09/704,212

Art Unit: 1772

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

5. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Brian P. Egan whose telephone number is 703-305-3144. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 8:30-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Harold Y. Pyon can be reached on 703-308-4251. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9310 for regular communications and 703-872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0661.

BPE

May 23, 2002

HAROLD PYON

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

7/24/02